

105.716

Riehl went to Mr. Hekeler's home on the evening of June 30 and brought with him a typewritten statement several pages in length from which Mr. Hekeler prepared the petition. Mr. Hekeler said that the statement brought by Riehl was in too bad shape grammatically to have been prepared by Payne. Riehl's statement contained other irrelevant matter not contained in the petition which Mr. Hekeler left out as it was based on rumor and hearsay which Riehl admitted he could not prove. Mr. Hekeler wished to leave out other parts of the final petition but Mr. Riehl said he wanted it just that way and finally when Mr. Hekeler drew the petition he did so on the theory that the petition was Riehl's and not his and he was entitled to have in it what he wished.

To sum this part of the matter up, the petition is in substance the statement brought to Mr. Hekeler by Riehl with certain omissions, certain additions to put the statement in the form of a legal document and certain changes in English. Riehl came back to Mr. Hekeler on the 1st, after Mr. Hekeler had prepared the petition, and verified it before the local notary Gallina. Mr. Hekeler then gave the verified petition to Riehl with his (Hekeler's) check to the order of the Clerk of the Chancery Court for \$5. to cover filing fees and left it to Mr. Riehl to attend to the filing.

Mr. Hekeler has not seen Riehl since the petition was